

Univ.-Prof. Dr. Alexander Kempf  
 Dr. Alexander Pütz  
 Asset Management - Vorlesung (21212121580)  
 Erfasste Fragebögen = 11



Overall indicators

Didactic quality (Skalenbreite: 5)



Academic quality (Skalenbreite: 5)



Atmospheric quality (Skalenbreite: 5)




How do you rate the following sub-aspects of the module? (Skalenbreite: 5)



Overall assessment of the single courses and the module as a whole (Skalenbreite: 5)




Finally, please indicate how regularly you attended the individual module sessions (Skalenbreite: 5)



Survey Results

Legend


Question text




n=No. of responses  
 av.=Mean  
 md=Median  
 dev.=Std. Dev.  
 ab.=Abstention

2. Didactic quality


2.1) The recognisability of a clear goal during the lecture as a whole ("main theme")




2.2) The recognisability of a clear goal during individual sessions




2.3) The preparation undertaken by the teacher




2.4) The legibility of the media presented




2.5) The rhetorical style



2.6) The variety brought to the lecture by the teacher




2.7) The level of expertise of the teacher




### 3. Academic quality


3.1) The clarity and articulateness of the terms used




3.2) The clarity of the comments made




3.3) The comprehensibility of the comments




3.4) The substance of the comments




3.5) The acquisition of knowledge from the teacher



3.6) The improvement of your analytical skills due to the teacher




3.7) The improvement of your application-related skills due to the teacher




### 4. Atmospheric quality


4.1) The adequacy with which the teacher responds to contributions from students




4.2) The active involvement of the students in the learning process by the teacher



4.3) The encouragement given by the teacher to ask questions




5. How do you rate the following sub-aspects of the module?




6. Online Teaching


6.1) The structure of the online teaching material




6.2) The opportunity to ask questions



6.3) The organization of the online event (e.g. via "Zoom")




6.4) The format of online teaching for this course




7. Overall assessment of the single courses and the module as a whole


7.1) How do you rate the lecture overall?




7.2) How do you rate the exercise overall?



7.3) How do you rate the tutorial overall?




7.4) How do you rate the module overall?




8. Finally, please indicate how regularly you attended the individual module sessions


8.1) Lecture



8.2) Exercise



8.3) Tutorial



9. Statistical information

9.1)


Subject-related semester  
(e.g. to indicate 5th semester, please write "05")



9.2) Gender



9.3) Intended final degree



Thank you for taking part in our teaching evaluation! The results can be found on the homepage of the WiSo-Evaluation Centre.

Please note: Information concerning the use of data and data protection can be found here: <https://www.wiso-evaluation.unikoeln.de/en/evaluation-of-teaching/>

Your WiSo-Evaluation Centre